

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,081	03/01/2002	Takayuki Yamamoto	220119US0	9114	
22850	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			JACKSON, MONIQUE R		
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
		10/085,081	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Monique R Jackson	1773	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SF THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day	nely filed  /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communications are seen that the	ation.
Status				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	lv 2004		
		action is non-final.		
3)□				•
/_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	v parte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11 45	secution as to the merits	SIS
Disnosit	on of Claims	C parte Quayle, 1905 C.D. 11, 45	13 O.G. 213.	
1			·	
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-15</u> is/are pending in the app			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
1	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· —	Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-15 is/are rejected.		•	
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or h) objected to by the	ivamina.	
. —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	(awing(s) he hold in shaveness . See	xaminer.	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	n is required if the deputie (a) is at it	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🖂 -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	minor Note the etteched Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
		miner. Note the attached Office A	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)L	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents to Certified copies of the Certified copies of th	have been received.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	V documents have been received	t in this Notice of Charles	
	application from the International Bureau (	PCT Rule 17 2/a\\	ani una ivadorial Stage	
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received	I.	
•				
Attachmant				
Attachment(	of References Cited (PTO-892)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	'TO-413)	
3) [] Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pat	e tent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper  J.S. Patent and Tra	No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	•	
PTOL-326 (Re	. 1 04)	n Summary Part	of Paner No /Mail Date 101420	

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This application has been reassigned to Examiner Monique R. Jackson. Any inconvenience to the Applicant is regretted.
- 2. The amendment filed 7/29/04 has been entered. New claim 14 has been added. Claims 1-4 and 8-15 are pending in the application.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1-4, 8, and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara in view of Wasal for the detailed reasons recited in paragraphs 3-21 of the prior office action wherein with regards to new claims 14-15, it is noted that though Shinohara do not specifically teach magnesium phosphate as the rust inhibiting pigment, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize magnesium phosphate because it is recognized in the art to be an equivalent to the other materials listed as suitable rust inhibiting pigments.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara in view of Wasal and in further view of Mekishima et al for the detailed reasons recited in paragraphs 22-26 of the prior office action.
- 6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara in view of Wasal and in further view of Rivera for the detailed reasons recited in paragraphs 27-31 of the prior office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,081

Art Unit: 1773

## Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments and declaration filed 7/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant attempts to show unexpected results in order to overcome the obviousness rejections presented above, however, the alleged unexpected results are actually taught by the prior art wherein particle size of a rust-inhibiting material is a result-effective variable and hence are not considered unexpected. Therefore, considering the Applicant has not provided a clear showing of "significant improvement" or unexpected results with regards to the instantly claimed invention, the Examiner maintains that the instant invention would have been obvious over the teachings of the prior art.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 571-272-1508. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique R. Jackson Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1700

October 15, 2004